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The new European Flood Management Directive

1. The new European Flood Management Directive

- Part of the European flood action program
- Aim: reducing negative impacts of floods
- Focusing on different scenarios:
  - low probability or extreme flood events
  - medium probability (return period > 100 years)
  - high probability (where appropriate)
## The new European Flood Management Directive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Short description</th>
<th>Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary flood risk assessment</td>
<td>Areas with significant adverse impacts for human health, for the environment, cultural heritage or economical activity</td>
<td>End of 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation of flood hazard and flood risk maps</td>
<td>On the basis of the flood risk assessment; Hazard maps containing flood extent, water depth or water level, or water flow; Flood risk maps containing number of inhabitants, affected economy, or hazardous plants</td>
<td>End of 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of flood risk management plans</td>
<td>Coordinated for river basins (or -districts) based on the risk assessment and maps with appropriate measures for reducing the flood risk, consider cost-benefit-ratio and avoid negative effects upstream or downstream</td>
<td>End of 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The new European Flood Management Directive

Realization in Germany

- Realization mostly by the German States (Bundesländer)

- Flood risk assessment for the German (big) rivers mostly exist

- Flood hazard and flood risk maps

- Municipalities:

  → Involvement mostly in developing flood risk management plans

  → What are appropriate tools?
Municipal Flood Management Systems

...are instruments which support municipal decision makers in preventive flood protection as well as emergency management by providing helpful information.

Existing German Municipal flood management systems:

- FLiWas (Flood Information and Warning System)
- INGE (Interactive hazard maps)
- Flood Management System in Stendal County
- ...
Municipal Flood Management Systems - applications

- Collecting geobasis data and flood relevant data (hazard maps, flood scenarios)
- Collecting important plans for disaster management (alert plans)
- Including appropriate measures (evacuation routes, street barriers, dyke defense)
- Showing endangered objects together with specific information about these objects
- Giving an overview of resources for emergency management and its regional distribution (man power, material)
Flood Management System (FloMs) Stendal County

- developed 2003-2006 after the Elbe flood disaster in 2002
- part of the European INTEEREG-project ELLA: “Elbe – Labe: preventive flood management measures by transnational spatial planning”
- recently published at 3rd ISFD (2006)
- practical application during catastrophic alert in April 2006
Best Practise Example – Stendal County
Best Practise Example – Stendal County

Flood Management System

User
- Responsible persons in Stendal county

Type
- Internet capable information- and work platform

Contents
- Non-spatial information
  - recommendation
  - legislation
  - ...
- spatial information
  - Geobasis data
  - Technical data
  - scenarios

Realisation
- Content Management System (CMS)
  - CMS-Station
  - CMS-Server
- WebGIS
  - GIS-Station
  - WebGIS-Server

Aim
- Localisation and predefined measures
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Best Practise Example – Stendal County

FLOOD SCENARIOS
from selected „representative“ dike failure locations
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Example for a dike failure scenario (Flood Area®)

Assumption of the dike breach length: 100 m

Results after:
- a.) 3 hours
- b.) 6 hours
- c.) 12 hours
- d.) 24 hours
- e.) 48 hours
Best Practise Example – Stendal County

Example for a regional hazard map
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Best Practise Example – Stendal County

Map showing the potential damages in a region
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Experiences during the Elbe Flood event 2006

**Flood event 2006:**
- caused by rapid snow melt in the upper watershed of the Elbe river combined with heavy rainfall events
- in the middle part of the river Elbe the return period was calculated to be between 50 – 80 years

**Experiences testing FloMs:**
- The system worked stable and without access problems
- It was accepted as a very helpful tool for the decision makers
- It gave the possibility to learn from the operation and to improve FloMS.
Best Practise Example – Stendal County

The Elbe river flood 2006 (Steingraf, 2006)
Best Practise Example – Stendal County

The junction of Tanger river and Elbe river (Steingraf, 2006)
Best Practise Example – Stendal County
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Using municipal flood management systems to implement the new European flood management Directive?

Yes, because they are able to

- optimize the existing municipal flood management activities
- combine GIS with hydraulic modelling to develop “flood scenarios”
- be used not only for emergency management but also for flood prevention measures
- be connected within a river basin to improve the transboundary cooperation
- be a helpful tool for developing flood risk management plans
Using municipal flood management systems to implement the new European flood management Directive

What is necessary?

- defining technical standards for flood management systems
- connect with flood forecast systems
- updating
- training the users
Thank you for your attention!