

Rijkswaterstaat Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat

## Flood Protection Standards in the Netherlands Towards Tolerable Risk

Rijkswaterstaat Centre for Water Management Durk Riedstra



# Outline

- I. Flood Protection Standards in the Netherlands
  - Current Flood Protection Standards & Advise Delta Committee 2008
  - Towards a risk-based approach
    - Individual Risk
    - Societal Risk
  - Dealing with Tolerable Risk, results and discussion
- II. Focus on evacuation



# Flood Risk Management in the Netherlands

#### Current approach



### '60s

exceedance frequency

overflow & wave overtopping

dike ring section

cost-benefit analysis

#### Current Flood Protection Standards





# 2<sup>nd</sup> Delta Committee (2008)

#### Current approach



New approach



'60s	> 2011(?)
exceedance frequency	risk (probability x consequences)
overflow & wave overtopping	all failure mechanisms
dike ring section	dike ring
cost-benefit analysis	idem + loss of life risk analysis



# 2<sup>nd</sup> Delta Committee (2008)

The new protection standards based should be based on:

- Basic level of protection for every citizen
  - $\rightarrow$  Individual risk
- Protection against large social disruption
  - $\rightarrow$  <u>Societal risk</u>
- <u>Cost-benefit analysis</u>
- $\rightarrow$  Two track approach ...
  - 1. FLORIS (research project)
  - Flood Risk Management 21<sup>st</sup> century (policy evaluation)



 $\rightarrow$  www.deltacommissie.com



### Approach Flood Risk Management 21<sup>st</sup> century → towards new flood protection standards





## draft results

# Individual Risk (situation in 2015) *with evacuation*

without evacuation



### Difference in protection level WITH & WITHOUT evacuation



4-Lateral Exploration of Risk-Based Flood Management Approaches



## draft results

# Individual Risk (situation in 2015) *with evacuation*

without evacuation





# Tolerable Individual Risk?

### Discussion:

- **maximum** or average value?
- for **populated areas only** or complete dike ring area?
- **with** or without evacuation?
- Proposed Tolerable Individual Risk Limit (draft!)
  - Option  $1 \rightarrow 1 \times 10^{-5}$ /year for <u>populated</u> areas
  - Option 2  $\rightarrow$  1 × 10<sup>-6</sup>/year for <u>populated</u> areas
    - Tolerable Risk Limit <u>Industrial Safety</u> the Netherlands =  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ /year



## Max. Individual Risk per dike ring area in populated areas



te ning area

4-Lateral Exploration of Risk-Based Flood Management Approaches





#### Optional Risk Reduction → from Individual Risk point of view

→ Risk limit: 1 × 10<sup>-6</sup>/year for <u>populated</u> areas (option 2)



4-Lateral Exploration of Risk-Based Flood Management Approaches



# Societal Risk







Individual Risk

Population Density

Societal Risk (or FN) chart

## Flood scenario (example)

AND A

#### (breach along the river Meuse)





4-Lateral Exploration of Risk-Based Flood Management Approaches

## Flood scenario (example)

#### (breach along the river Meuse)





#### Breach near **Ooijen** (single breach):

- Probability (P)  $\rightarrow$  ± 1 / 10,000 per year

05-jan-2000 00:02:37

- Number of fatalities (N)  $\rightarrow$  120 1,200
- Number of people living in flood plain  $\rightarrow$  250,000
- Damage  $\rightarrow$  € 12 billion





4-Lateral Exploration of Risk-Based Flood Management Approaches



# Societal Risk chart

- Flood scenario 1:
  - Probability P1, fatalities N1
- Flood scenario 2:
  - Probability P2, fatalities N2
- Etc.



Societal Risk calculations:

- All flood scenarios considered
- Single & multiple breaches



#### draft results

## Societal Risk chart (2)



4-Lateral Exploration of Risk-Based Flood Management Approaches



#### draft results

## Societal Risk (national level)





## Contribution of 'water regions' to national societal risk





# **Tolerable Societal Risk?**

Discussions:

- Should risk limit refer to **national scale** or value per dike ring area?
  → More than 1 dike ring area can be flooded during one event
- Risk neutral or **risk averse**?
  - $\rightarrow$  a flood event with 10× more fatalities should have a 100× less probability (accepted approach industrial safety)
- with of without evacuation?
- Level of Tolerable Societal Risk ???



## Tolerable Societal Risk according the Dutch Expertise Network for Flood Protection



Reliability Engineering and System Safety 59 (1998) 141-150 © 1998 Elsevier Science Limited All rights reserved. Printed in Northern Ireland 0951-8320/98/\$19.00

РП: 50951-8320(97)00135-Х

# Acceptable risk as a basis for design

#### J. K. Vrijling<sup>a,\*</sup>, W. van Hengel<sup>b</sup> & R. J. Houben<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Delft University of Technology, PO Box 5048, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands <sup>b</sup>Rijkswaterstaat, Building Division, Utrecht, The Netherlands <sup>c</sup>Simtech, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

> 4-Lateral Exploration of Risk-Based Flood Management Approaches



## Tolerable Societal Risk according the Dutch Expertise Network for Flood Protection



Fig. 2. Personal risks in Western countries, deduced from the statistics of causes of death and the number of participants per activity.



### draft results

#### Tolerable Societal Risk according the Dutch Expertise Network for Flood Protection



Flood Management Approaches



4-Lateral Exploration of Risk-Based Flood Management Approaches



# **Risk reduction**



Fig. 13. FN curve indicating the effects of two types of measures.



# **Risk reduction**

## **Preparation / response**

(emergency response)

## **Pro-action**

(spatial planning)

#### **Prevention**



4-Lateral Exploration of Risk-Based Flood Management Approaches



#### Optional Risk Reduction → from Societal Risk point of view

→ Societal Risk limit:  $\beta = 1$  (risk reduction by prevention only)



4-Lateral Exploration of Risk-Based Flood Management Approaches



# Possibilities for evacuation in the Netherlands

*Study by the Taskforce Flood Management* 

Rijkswaterstaat Centre for Water Management Durk Riedstra



# Flood prone areas

- 55% in the Netherlands (9 million inhabitants)
  - 26% below sea level
  - 29% prone to riverine floods
  - $\rightarrow$  51% protected by dikes
  - $\rightarrow$  4% not protected at all

Water levels up to >5 meter





# Population density

- $\rightarrow$  ± 16 million inhabitants
- → 34.000 km<sup>2</sup>
- → Average population density 483 / km<sup>2</sup>







## Little experience with evacuation in the Netherlands ...

- 1995: critical situation within the 'upper river'-area;
  - 250,000 inhabitants were evacuated





# "Taskforce flood management" (2006 – 2008)

- Installed after Kathrina:
  - Is our country prepared for a large scale flood?
- <u>Objective</u>: to improve emergency reponse to flooding
  - How much time do we need for evacuation?



Gebiedsindeling bovenregionale overstromingen



# Flood maps





## Rescue capacity needed

- Assumptions
  - 85%–90% of the inhabitants are able to evacuate themselves or find a shelter
    - → Rescue capacity is focused on most `vulnerable' people
  - 20% not responding to an evacuation call
- Evacuation strategies
  - I. Evacuation of people from the <u>red & yellow</u> areas
  - II. Evacuation of people from the <u>red</u> areas only







## Results

safe area safe area with indirect effects area with water depth < 1 meter area with water depth > 1 meter

	evacuation time needed	decision time to evacuate	strategy
	[days]	[days before breach]	evacuated areas
Coast West	21/2 - 3	4 – 5	
Coast North	11/4 - 2	3 – 4	
Lake IJssel	1	2	
Rhine / Meuse region	3	4 – 5	
Rhine / IJssel region	11/2	3	
Tidal rivers	3	4 – 5	

- Prediction time available for critical situations
  - Storm surge (north sea, lake IJssel): ± 1 − 2 days
    → But no evacuation possible the last 24 hours
  - Extreme river discharge:  $\pm 2 4$  days





# Conclusions

- 1. Rescue capacity is insufficient to evacuate all (vulnerable) people
- 2. In order to reduce the number of casualties & fatalities it is necessary to improve (public) awareness towards flood risk

#### <u>Paradoxes</u>

I. How to improve public awareness in a country with very high safety standards

 $\rightarrow$  is not everybody safe in the Netherlands?

II. Decision to evacuate: uncertainty versus time ..



## Recommendations

- after a large scale exercise in 2008
- Clarify and improve the national command structure
  - including `time table'
- Make traffic management plan for evacuation events
- Emergency plans for each 'safety region'