

# Points discussed in the morning session (1)

## Questions

- How do we think of some framework to classify joint activities?
- What are the difficulties of transferring national models from one country to another?

## Opinions

- Situations, conditions, approaches and policies vary from countries.
- Some national level assessments and some local case studies are complementary.
- Case studies bring to application of policy.
- National level policy is important and each country has different policies & applications.
- Large framework for adaptation policy using projection of long-term change should be built with adaptation options by putting all case studies into the framework.
- Research gaps such as risk assessment and adaptation gaps between what we can do and what we cannot do should be considered.

## Points discussed in the morning session (2)

### Proposals for Next Meetings

- Pick the value of each approach to fill the whole framework of approaches.
- Identify case studies.
- Identify the gaps of research which is underway at each country.
- Address both national policy and detailed methodologies for assessment.
- Choose one or 2 topics for next meeting.

### Specific Topics

- BCR (benefit cost ratio) against public safety approach:  
how is each country approaching?
- Public safety / Tolerable risk
- Uncertainty considering effectiveness of measures and evacuation plans
- Fragility of levies with levy vegetation problem
- Environmental factors that are now emerging as needing to be integrated
- Focus of stakeholders because every country is trying to establish this