## **U.S. Case Studies: Assessment**

#### **Edward J. Hecker**

Chief, Office of Homeland Security and Provost Marshal, Directorate of Civil Works

4-Lateral Exploration of Risk-Informed Flood Management Approaches

October 1, 2009



# Flood Risk Management Cycle



#### **BUILDING STRONG**<sub>®</sub>

## **Flood-Focused Collaboration**

NATIONAL FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM





**Mission:** To <u>integrate</u> and <u>synchronize</u> the ongoing, diverse flood risk management projects, programs and authorities of the US Army Corps of Engineers with counterpart projects, programs and authorities of FEMA, other Federal agencies, state organizations and regional and local agencies.

#### Intergovernmental Flood Risk Management Committee

**FEMA** 



**US Army Corps** 





### Hurricane Katrina

- Highest recorded wave and surge conditions
- Multiple storm damage reduction projects
- ► Impacts:
  - 200 miles of damaged levees
  - 1800 deaths
  - \$30B property loss
  - \$200B indirect loss
  - Flood depths >15 feet





- Hurricane protection system did not operate as a system
  - Planning and design need to be system-based
  - Methods should reflect dynamic nature of system
  - Hazard and engineering knowledge practices must be regularly evaluated
  - Single design storm approach should be replaced with more robust probability-based methods



- Hurricane Katrina created record surge and wave conditions
  - Sophisticated modes are essential to accurately characterize storm surge and waves
  - Models need increased ability to simulate barrier islands, marshes, and wetlands
  - More robust instrumentation required
  - Safe havens needed for pump and power operators



### System Performance

- Hurricane structures need to be designed as part of a complete systems-based approach
- Design should recognize and take into consideration the variable nature of the hazard
- Existing infrastructure should be reviewed frequently in light of new knowledge, practices, and knowledge
- Armoring back side of levees is necessary to prevent catastrophic breaching, protect system integrity
- Maintenance is crucial



### Consequences

- Half the direct losses may have been averted had catastrophic breaching not occurred
- System resiliency would have reduced impacts and enabled quicker recovery
- Emergency planning and response must focus more on those unable to self-evacuate
- Indirect consequences as devastating as direct
- Water depth related to recovery
- More information need on "natural infrastructure"



### Risks

- Risk assessment needed to analyze probability and consequences
- Consequences related most strongly to water depth and number of people and value of property relying on system
- Emergency preparedness, prior evacuation are key to reducing risk to life and safety
- Communicating risk is difficult
- Quantitative estimates of vulnerability to flooding and risk are a powerful awareness tool





**BUILDING STRONG**<sub>®</sub>

### Assessment Tools

- Identification and communication of risks
- ► Models
- Flood risk mapping
- "Natural infrastructure"
- Multiple scenario approach
- Adaptive management





# Currently, you have a 1% chance every year of flooding this deep from Hurricanes

#### Notes:

The depth map tool is a relative indicator of progress, over time, demonstrating risk reduction as a function of construction progress
The water surface elevations are mean values
The scale sensitivity of the legend is +/- 2 feet
The info does not depict interior drainage modeling results
The storm surge is characterized as the result of a probabilistic analysis of 5 to 6 storm parameters of a suite of 152 storms and not a particular event



Assumes 50% Pumping Capacity

MARCH 08

## Louisiana Coastal Protection and Restoration (LACPR)

- Authorized Technical Report post Katrina: integration of coastal restoration and higher levels of storm damage risk reduction
- Collaboration with State of LA and State Master Plan
- Multiple lines of defense strategy
  - Coastal restoration/protection
  - Structural measures
  - Non-structural features
- Describes need for using risk reducing / informing decision making process
- NAS review of final report ongoing
- Target report completion Sep 2009







- 3 hydrologic regions
- 450 miles long, 50 miles wide
- Highly productive agricultural area
- Important ecosystem
- Home to 4.4 million people









## **Problem Areas**

- Inadequate Channel Capacity
- Levee Deficiencies
- Erosion
- Declining Habitat
- Institutional Barriers
- Threatened & Endangered Species
- Development within the Floodplains



## Models

- HEC-HMS: Rainfall-Runoff
- HEC-RSS/FCLP: Reservoir Optimization



- HEC-5: Hourly Flood Simulation
- Geotechnical analysis Levee Reliability
- UNET/FLO-2D: Hydraulic Models
- HEC-FIA/FDA: Economic Models
- EFM: Ecosystems Function Model



- System-wide Application of Risk Analysis Tool
- Sacramento River System
- Undertaken by HEC
- Defined process
- Demonstrated use of risk analysis tools
- Report issued June 2009



Documentation and Demonstration of a Process for Risk Analysis of Proposed Modifications to the Sacramento River Flood Control Project (SRFCP) Levees





Approved for Public Release. Distribution Unlimited

**PR-71** 



## Questions?



**BUILDING STRONG**<sub>®</sub>